

# Star Data Reflection

LA8

Abby Paulson

period 2

This star data reflection is going to describe my two PR scores and compare them. Also another paragraph will contain an hypothesis explaining my performance (changes). For the remainder of my reflection I will reflect on how accurately I think the star data test measures my reading ability and give some examples of problems on the test that I remember.

On September 23 at 9:23 AM I took my first star data reading test of the year on this test I scored an 83% PR score. On my second star data reading test I scored an 85% PR score, the date was November 12 9:22 AM. These two scores were very close together but I still got 2% better.

The reason that I think I did 2% better is that my 1st quarter goal really pushed me. My first quarter goal was to get through The Complete Novels and Tales of Sherlock Holmes volume 1. Well at least to the return of Sherlock Holmes. This was only around 500 pages but they were very difficult to read at the beginning. The author Sir Arthur Conan Doyle lived in the late 1800's early 1900's so many obscure words were used in the text. I believe this contributed big time to my change. When I say big time I mean I think this is what changed me the whole 2%.

To reflect on my experience(s) with the stara data reading test I really like it. But I don't think it is a completely fair test. See some kids just play around with it and do not care at all. They just hit random keys that they hope is right. I do however like some of the problems that are on the test. I like the questions with picking apart the word or latin roots. These questions I feel

actually measure something and are worth the time. I know these questions are testing perception and knowledge and you can guess with an idea of if you are right or not.

In the end I am kind of conflicted about how the whole star data reading test tests us, but I do like getting results back and things like that.